

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/728,336	12/04/2003	Stephen M. Stanton	7463-19	7398	
30448	7590 03/31/2005		EXAMINER		
AKERMAN SENTERFITT			ZARROLI, MICHAEL C		
P.O. BOX 31		_	10011110		
WEST PALI	M BEACH, FL 33402-318	8	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2839		
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Ann
Office Action Summary	10/728,336	STANTON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAN INC DATE of this communication on	Michael C. Zarroli	2839	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	;
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statur Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		imely filed ys will be considered timely, in the mailing date of this communi ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 /	ebruary 2005.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under			its is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-24 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 11 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 17 February 2005 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the 11.	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applica Ority documents have been received Au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stag	e
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail (8) 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:)

Application/Control Number: 10/728,336 Page 2

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-5 and, 22-24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Ohgami et al.

Regarding claim 1 Ohgami discloses a communication assembly comprising; a communication device (fig. 1) including at least one connector (92, 94) and an opening providing access to the at least one connector (fig. 9); and a cover (22) tethered (fig. 8) to the communication device, at least a portion of the cover being flexible such that the cover is selectively movable between a first position (fig. 2), wherein at least a portion of the cover substantially closes the opening, and a second position (fig. 7), wherein substantially unimpeded access to the connector is permitted, whereby, in the first position, the connector is substantially protected from the infiltration of solid particles and the gross intrusion of liquids (fig. 4).

Regarding claim 22 Ohgami discloses a method of accessing and protecting a connector (87) on a communications device (fig. 1) comprising the steps of: providing a communication device including at least one connector, an opening (20) providing access (fig. 7) to the at least one connector, and a cover (22) tethered (fig. 8) to the communication device, at least a portion of the cover being flexible such that the cover is selectively movable between at least a first locked position (fig. 2), wherein at least a portion of the cover engages at least a portion of the communication device so as to substantially close the opening, and a second locked position (fig. 9); when the cover is in the first position, disengaging the cover from the communication device; and moving the cover toward the second locked position until the cover locks in place (figures 7 & 9); wherein the cover is substantially prevented from traveling toward the first position such that substantially unimpeded access to the connector is provided. Regarding claims 2-4 Ohgami discloses that the cover is held in the first position at

least by engagement between at least a portion of the cover and at least portion of the communication device (fig. 2), wherein the engagement is an interference fit involving the engagement is between at least one projection provided on the cover (unnumbered fig. 9 near 22) and at least one cavity in the opening.

Art Unit: 2839

Regarding claim 5 Ohgami discloses that in the second position, the cover is substantially prevented from moving back toward the first position (figures 6 & 9). Regarding claim 23 Ohgami discloses providing an accessory connector (88, 89) adapted to be received within the communication device connector (fig. 7); and operationally joining the accessory connector and the communication device connector (fig. 7 two headed arrows).

Regarding claim 24 Ohgami discloses that when the cover is in the second position, moving the cover toward the first locked position until at least a portion of the cover engages at least a portion of the communication device (unnumbered fig. 8 near spindle of 22), wherein the cover is substantially prevented from traveling toward the second position (figures 6 & 9).

3. Claims 7- 8, 10, 14, 16-17 and, 18-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Gilpin et al.

Gilpin discloses an accessory cover (10) for a communications device (14) comprising: a flap (30); and at least one attachment leg (34), each leg having a proximal end and a distal end (unnumbered fig. 3), the proximal end of each leg being flexibly connected to the flap (at 84), the distal end of each leg being adapted for connection to the communication device (94), at least a portion of the

Application/Control Number: 10/728,336

Art Unit: 2839

attachment leg being bendable (fig. 4), wherein the flap is movable between at least a first position (fig. 1) and a second position (fig. 2).

Regarding claim 8 Gilpin discloses that first position, the flap is disposed substantially 90 degrees (fig. 1) relative to the attachment leg(s).

Regarding claim 10 Gilpin discloses that the cover provides a tactile signal or audible signal when the cover is moved between the first and second positions (fig. 4 vibration or sound when movement occurs).

Regarding claim 14 Gilpin discloses that the flap is substantially rectangular (fig. 3).

Regarding claim 16 Gilpin discloses that in the second position, the flap is disposed substantially in the same plane relative to the attachment leg (fig. 3). Regarding claim 17 Gilpin discloses that the distal end of the at least one attachment leg includes one or more protrusions (fig. 6 near 94), whereby the protrusions are lockingly received in a corresponding passage on the communication device (col. 5 lines 4+).

Regarding claims 18 and 19 Gilpin discloses that at least one projection (fig. 3 at 60, 62 & 76) extends substantially transversely from the flap, the at least one projection shaped to interface with and substantially surroundingly receive (figures 1 to 2) a connector (18, 16).

Art Unit: 2839

Regarding claim 20 Gilpin discloses that the flap includes at least one edge portion, the edge portion including at least one protrusion (112), wherein the at least one protrusion lockingly engages a portion of the communication device (120) so as to retain the communication device in the first position (fig. 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohgami et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Hayashi et al.

 Ohgami does not disclose that the cover is used with a cellular phone.

 Hayashi discloses a cellular phone (1) with cover (fig. 7) to protect a connector.

 At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the cover device of Ohgami in a cellular phone like the one shown by Hayashi. The motivation for this change would be to keep from

misplacing the cover of Hayashi.

Application/Control Number: 10/728,336 Page 7

Art Unit: 2839

6. Claim 9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gilpin et al as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of Imai et al.

Gilpin does not disclose two parallel attachment legs.

Imai discloses two attachment legs (6) in parallel (fig. 2).

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the attachment leg of Gilpin from one large leg to two parallel legs as taught by Imai. The motivation for this change would be to save on material costs and weight of the device.

7. Claims 12 and 13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gilpin et al as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of Imai et al.

Gilpin does not disclose that the attachment leg is comprised of two legs or that the flap is substantially flat.

Imai discloses (fig. 4) two substantially parallel attachment legs (6) connected to a flap (3) that is substantially flat.

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the attachment leg and flap structure of Gilpin as taught by Imai. The motivation for this change would be to save space.

8. Claim 15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gilpin et al as applied to claim 7 above.

Application/Control Number: 10/728,336

Art Unit: 2839

Gilpin discloses that the attachment leg has protrusions **not slots**; and that these protrusions connect with slots of the communication device.

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Gilpin so that the attachment legs have the slots (not protrusions) and that the communication device has the protrusions (no the slots). The reversal of parts is well settled in the art In re Gazda, 219 F. 2d 449, 452, 104 USPQ 400, 402 (CCPA 1955). The motivation for this reversal of parts would be to reduce the profile of the cover device.

9. Claim 21 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gilpin et al as applied to claim 7 above.

Gilpin discloses that the flap has at least one protrusion that lockingly engages a portion of the communication device **not that** the communication device has the protrusion that engages a notch of the flap.

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Gilpin so that the flap has the notches (not protrusions) and that the communication device has the protrusions (no the notches). The reversal of parts is well settled in the art In re Gazda, 219 F. 2d 449, 452, 104 USPQ 400, 402 (CCPA 1955). The motivation for this reversal of parts would be to reduce the profile of the cover device.

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 10/728,336

Art Unit: 2839

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed 2/17/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Ohgami reference arguments are not agreed with. The examiner points out that the cover of Ohgami is flexible enough to perform what is recited in claim 1. In the applicant's invention and in Ohgami it is not the cover that is flexible it is the tether. Ohgami has a hinge that tethers the cover to the communication device.

Gilpin reference arguments are not agreed with. The examiner reads broadly the hinge of Gilpin as a leg that is connected to a communication device and a flap that moves the flap from one position to a second. In the claim language the applicant recites nothing more than that the attachment leg has a proximal and distal ends. The hinge/leg of Gilpin is flexible. Other considerations of Gilpin highlighted by the applicant do not help in limiting the language in the claims of the applicant.

Application/Control Number: 10/728,336 Page 10

Art Unit: 2839

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claim 11 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

12. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 11

Application/Control Number: 10/728,336

Art Unit: 2839

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Noorily and Hill both teach tethers for the cover of an electronic device.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Zarroli whose telephone number is 571-272-2101. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 to 3:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, T.C. Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2800 ext 39. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael C. Zarroli
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2839

MCZ MCZ